PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY

of

SUSAN E. LINDA

PRESIDENT

INTERSTATE NAVIGATION COMPANY

d/b/a The Block Island Ferry

Regarding the Rate Filing by
INTERSTATE NAVIGATION COMPANY
DOCKET NO. _____

1	INTRODUCTION
2	
3	Q. Will you state your name and business address for the record?
4	A. Yes. My name is Susan E. Linda. I am President and Treasurer of Interstate Navigation
5	Company (Interstate). My business mailing address is P.O. Box 482, New London, Connecticu
6	and my administrative office is located at 14 Eugene O'Neil Drive, New London, Connecticut.
7	Interstate also has offices in Galilee, Rhode Island and Block Island, Rhode Island.
8	
9	Q. Mrs. Linda, have you testified for Interstate before the Rhode Island Public Utilities
10	Commission as a witness prior to this docket?
11	A. Yes. I testified in the last two Interstate rate Dockets 1935 and 2484.
12	
13	Q. What are your duties and responsibilities at Interstate?
14	A. As President and Treasurer, I am responsible for all of the office related activities which
15	include, but are not limited to, the following areas:
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	 General Ledger Accounting Billing Cash Receipts Purchasing Cash Disbursements Personnel Computer Applications Customer Relations Contract Negotiations for Leases, etc. PUC Related Activity Office Management Financial and Program Planning Other Daily, Weekly, and Monthly Activities too numerous to list.
30	Q. Are you familiar with Interstate's last two rate filings and the results of Dockets 1935
31	and 2484?
32	A. Yes. In Docket 1935, Interstate agreed to an "Amended Stipulation" with the Division
33	which was approved by this Commission. That stipulation was signed on the 21 st day of
34	December 1989.

- 1 In Docket 2484, Interstate, the Division, the Town of New Shoreham, the Town of Narragansett,
- 2 and the Block Island Residents Association executed a stipulation on March 21, 1997 that was
- 3 approved by this Commission on April 22, 1997.

4

5

- Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this filing?
- 6 A. I will present information regarding our general financial situation, changes in capital
- 7 investment completed and proposed, and a general overview of the goals which Interstate has for
- 8 the future. In addition, I will be prepared to respond to any questions relating to the detailed
- 9 transactions of the Company.

10

- 11 Q. Are you aware of the stipulations which Interstate agreed to as a result of Docket
- 12 2484?
- 13 A. Yes.

14

- 15 Q. Has Interstate complied with each of the items listed in the stipulation?
- 16 A. Yes, the following is a summary of items in the stipulation:

17

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

- 18 1. Interstate has run at least three boats on Saturdays year-round, except for Christmas.
- Interstate has provided a level of service equal to or greater than the service level set forth
 in the company's 1997 ferry schedule on file with the Commission, and in fact has
 steadily increased that service level.
 - 3. Interstate sells round-trip tickets for children at twice the one-way child fare.
 - 4. The rate increase authorized in Docket 2484 was implemented on an across-the-board basis with the three exceptions set forth in the stipulation, which are (1) hazardous materials transported by truck were charged 150% of the old per linear foot truck rate, (2) the commuter rate was increased to \$9 exclusive of the landing fee, and (3) a commuter vehicle rate was established for Block Island registered automobiles driven by a commuter card holder at \$23 and for Block Island registered pick up trucks and passenger vans driven by a commuter card holder at \$28.

The lost revenue from these changes was spread equally over all other rate classes. (Note that there was also a subsequent 1.4% across-the-board rate increase related to Narragansett taxes approved by the Commission, which has since been eliminated.)

- 5. Interstate conducted a study of its loose freight rates and submitted the study along with recommendations, to the Commission, the Division, and all parties to this proceeding on June 29, 1998. Interstate recommended no change in the freight rates and no parties filed any objection to the study.
- 6. Interstate pre-sold passenger tickets starting July 1, 1997, as a pilot program. There was no demand for this, so Interstate eventually stopped these sales. However, Interstate hopes to start online internet advance ticket pre-sales soon.
- 7. The company sold the *M/V Manisee* and is amortizing the sale proceeds, less commissions, sales expenses, and remaining book value, over a 60-month period. The company reported the transaction to the Division on July 6, 2000, noting that the boat was sold for the gross sum of \$375,000. This report occurred within 60 days of the sale date, which was June 19, 2000. This report included the amount of the sale price (\$375,000), the net book value (\$35,699), and an identification of the purchaser (Bowditch Boat Holdings, LLC.). In addition, all private charters, leases, and other non-utility uses of the company's assets have continued to be credited to the revenue accounts of Interstate.
- 8. The company ran the Providence/Newport/Block Island run in the 1997 season. The company further analyzed ridership levels for this run and eventually made the necessary filings to eliminate the run in Docket No. 99-MC-107. The Division authorized Interstate to relinquish that portion of its Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity which authorized service between Providence and Newport, but held in abeyance that portion of the Certificate that authorized service between Newport and Block Island. Interstate was granted a temporary 1-year suspension of service between Newport and Block Island. At the end of the 1-year suspension, Interstate resumed ferry services between Newport and Block Island and has continued to operate the Newport to Block Island run since that time. The Providence to Newport run was taken over by other parties unrelated to Interstate.

1 Q. Has Interstate addressed the issues of the Town expressed by the First Warden in the

2 last rate case?

3 A. Yes. Kimberly Gaffet, who was then First Warden, suggested the following:

4 5

- ➤ The continued use of commuter cards with discounts on passenger and vehicle rates for commuters and round-trip tickets for children. Interstate has complied with both of these requests.
- The sale of tickets throughout the day, rather than at specific times of the day for the next available ferry (pre-selling of tickets). Interstate did this for a while but there was no demand so we stopped. We hope to start online internet advance pre-sales soon.
 - ➤ The establishment of a separate commuter line so that passengers carrying commuter tickets can board the ferries before non-commuters during peak periods. Interstate has complied with this.
 - Provide access to a small waiting area with rest rooms at Point Judith. This is part of Interstate's terminal reconstruction plan that we hope to have completed soon.
 - ➤ Sell tickets inside the Interstate buildings in Galilee and Block Island during inclement weather. Interstate has complied with this on Block Island, and this is part of Interstate's terminal reconstruction plan in Galilee.
 - Provide adequate rest rooms and access to them during scheduled hours of operation in Galilee and Block Island. Interstate has complied with this. Working with the Town on Block Island, a new hospitality center has been erected on Interstate's property that contains more than adequate rest rooms. In Galilee, Interstate has paid substantial sums every year to DEM to keep the rest rooms across the street from Interstate's facility open until after the last boat arrives in Galilee during the summer. In addition, indoor rest rooms are part of Interstate's terminal reconstruction plan that we hope to have completed soon.
 - Assist the Towns of Block Island, Narragansett and DEM in securing the parking areas in Point Judith. Interstate has begun paying a 35¢ landing fee to the Town of Narragansett. By law, Narragansett is supposed to use this fee to address the needs of arriving and departing passengers, which should include patrolling the parking areas.

1 > Provide a dry area for personal luggage/freight on the boat. Interstate has complied with 2 this. 3 Increase the availability and number of personal pallets. Interstate has complied with 4 this. 5 > Establish a special flag on no-boat days on Block Island. Interstate has complied with 6 this with its "purple flag." 7 > Offer minimal coffee and refreshment service on the boats year-round. Interstate has 8 complied with this. 9 10 Q. You just mentioned the Point Judith terminal reconstruction project. Can you 11 provide the Commission with an update on this project? 12 A. Certainly. The Block Island Ferry terminal expansion project originally involved relocating 13 the existing terminal building and associated pedestrian/vehicular operations to a different 14 location on the site, including repaying the entire site and flood-proofing the new structure in 15 accordance with current FEMA flood regulations. Freight operations were to be centralized and 16 expanded in the small existing building along the bulkhead between the ferry ramps. This 17 project received all required approvals in March, 2001. The project plans, specifications, and bid 18 documents were submitted to the State in April, 2001 to put the project out to bid. 19 20 Since this is a private project, 80% funded by the Rhode Island Department of Transportation (RI 21 DOT) with federal ISTEA funds administered by the Rhode Island Department of Environmental 22 Management (RI DEM) and contracted by the RI Department of Administration, the initial 23 bidding process through these agencies was considerably delayed. The pre-bid meeting on site 24 took place in December 2001. The bids were opened and tabulated by the State in January 2002. 25 We received a breakdown of the lowest bidder in February 2002. The lowest bid of \$1.1 million 26 exceeded the budget. 27 28 29

1 After deciding not to proceed with the approved project, a redesign of the project was 2 immediately initiated in March 2002. In order to lower construction costs, it was decided to keep 3 the existing ferry terminal building in the same location and perform interior modifications to 4 provide a winter waiting area, bathrooms, and a pedestrian shelter addition. The freight 5 operations were relocated to a new freight depot building by the eastern portion of the site. To 6 reduce truck/freight traffic on Great Island Road, the existing driveway behind the Sunflower 7 Restaurant was investigated to provide access to the freight operations. This required surveying 8 and engineering to incorporate this access into the site design. We presented our preliminary site 9 design and received approval from the Galilee Lease Committee in August, 2002. 10 11 The truck access change (trucks exiting onto Great Island Road) requires modification of the 12 existing driveway apron onto Great Island Road. This work requires a Physical Alteration 13 Permit (PAP) from Rhode Island Department of Transportation (RI DOT). We submitted the 14 PAP application in December 2002. The PAP was issued in June 2003. 15 16 Variances from the State of RI Building Code Commission for the FEMA Flood regulations 17 were applied for and granted in January 2003. Preliminary building plans were submitted the 18 State Building Official and the State Building Official granted conditional approval of building 19 plans in April 2003. 20 21 RI Coastal Resources Management Council (CRMC) permit and Water Quality Certificate 22 applications were submitted in July 2003. We expect to receive CRMC approval soon. Final 23 plans, specifications and bid documents will then be submitted to the State for putting the project 24 out to bid. We estimate the bidding process to be completed by February 2004. After the State 25 awards the bid, construction will commence and is estimated to take 10 weeks and be completed 26 by June 1, 2004. The final cost will depend on the bid prices. 27 28 29 30

- Q. Mrs. Linda, what are the major reasons which have caused the need for rate relief at this time?
- A. The five major reasons are listed in Mr. Edge's testimony, but I would like to expand on his
 descriptions and give a little background.

1. As shown on Mr. Edge's schedules, Interstate's passenger and bike revenues have been adversely impacted by direct competition. It should be noted however that, except for loose freight, which is moving more toward trucks, Interstate's other revenues have for the most part continued to increase in recent years. Our direct competition for passengers and bikes operates its ferry from the same dock that we do in Galilee and operates only in the summer time, which is our most profitable peak tourist season (which subsidizes our late fall, winter and early spring operations). Our competition is geared toward serving summer tourists with a faster, more luxurious boat to Block Island. Even though their rate is more than ours, they have been very successful in attracting significant numbers of passengers to their vessel. From a review of our revenues it appears that many of these customers in the past rode Interstate's boats.

As a result, we have had decreasing passenger traffic and it appears that our competitor has had increasing passenger traffic since the inception of its service. The competition provides not only faster service on a newer boat, but provides more amenities than Interstate is able to provide on its more basic lifeline service. Moreover, the Division lifted the 149 passenger cap that had been previously imposed on IHSF so that beginning in August 2002 (FYE May 31st 2003), our competition can carry up to its Coast Guard limit of 250 passengers, and it can also carry bicycles.

The direct competition (and even indirect competition from the new ferry to Martha's Vineyard that began in 2003) has clearly cut into our passenger and bike revenue base, and as a result, has caused part of the rate increase necessary at this time. See Mr. Edge's revenue analysis.

2. We have not had a rate increase since 1997 and there have been numerous cost increases in various categories that have occurred since that time, all as detailed in Mr. Edge's testimony.

- 3. Since September 11, 2001, we have had to implement additional security measures. The Coast Guard has also recently issued Homeland Security regulations that will be onerous and difficult for the company to implement. We do not yet know the extent the cost of compliance, but the Coast Guard has estimated these costs in its recently published regulations and we are using their estimates in our rate filing.
- 4. Interstate has a number of expensive capital programs that it has identified. First and most important is the fact that Interstate has agreed to purchase the *M/V Anna C* from Nelseco Navigation Company and place her in service on the Block Island to Point Judith run. The *M/V Anna C* will replace the *M/V Nelseco* and be available year round to improve the service provided by Interstate. The *M/V Nelseco* will be moved to the Newport to Block Island run and the *M/V Manitou*, which was serving the Newport to Block Island run, will go back on standby.
 - We have received many complaints about the *M/V Nelseco*. It is a passenger-only vessel that was primarily running to the island in the morning during peak travel periods. It is an older and smaller vessel than the *M/V Block Island*, the *M/V Carol Jean*, and the *M/V Anna C*. Travelers got used to the smoother ride provided by the larger vessels and have been complaining about the rougher ride provided by the *M/V Nelseco* (numerous passenger get sick in high seas on the *Nelseco*).

Another reason for adding the M/V Anna C to our fleet is the fact that revenue from loose freight has declined and shippers continue to ship more and more of their freight in trucks instead of on pallets. This change of demand increases the need for deck space for carrying trucks. Since the M/V Nelseco is a passenger-only vessel, it cannot carry any trucks or other vehicles. Buying the M/V Anna C to put her on the Point Judith to Block Island run will open up additional truck space to further service the island and its needs.

This additional space will provide additional truck revenue as shown in Mr. Edge's calculations

As this Commission knows, the *M/V Anna C* is a fully winterized vessel similar in size to the *M/V Carol Jean*, but is newer and more powerful. The *M/V Anna C* is currently owned by Nelseco Navigation, and until recently was operated by Nelseco Navigation in the summer time only once a day from New London to Block Island. If the Commission approves the sale, that Connecticut to Block Island run will be eliminated. The *M/V Anna C* will be devoted solely to the Point Judith to Block Island run.

As this Commission also knows, the *M/V Anna C* has in the past been leased by Interstate during high demand periods when it was not being used by Nelseco Navigation. When the *M/V Anna C* was on Block Island, primarily on weekends and holidays, the *M/V Anna C* was leased by Interstate to provide an extra (extremely important) run to meet the demands of travelers and weekly renters to and from Point Judith. Also the *M/V Anna C* would be leased to fill in when other vessels were out of service for repairs or maintenance (as was done on two occasions in the test year). Ownership of this vessel will allow us to meet the ever increasing demands placed on this lifeline service, will allow it to be put to full use by Interstate, and will eliminate the charter costs which were previously paid to Nelseco Navigation.

The \$3.1 million purchase price has been set by an independent appraisal, and is only about 1/3 of the replacement cost of the vessel. The *Anna C* is roughly the same size and design as the *Carol Jean*, but it is winterized, more powerful, newer, and has been used less. Yet Interstate can buy it for approximately what it will cost us to rehabilitate the *Carol Jean* (up to \$3 million). This is an excellent bargain for Interstate's rate payers.

The second major capital project is renovation of the *M/V Carol Jean*. The M/V *Carol Jean* was placed in service in 1984 and is in need of significant renovations. The engines and all related gear need to be replaced, as they have gone well past the end of their useful life.

In addition, the *M/V Carol Jean* was built as a summer only boat without an interior finish or insulation. We have discovered that our customers do not like this because when the weather is cold (raw), the cabin is too cold, and when it is hot (humid), the cabin is too hot. As a result, we intend to insulate and finish the interior of the cabin and install air conditioning in the cabin so that we can better compete with the amenities of our competition.

Finally, due to the age of the *M/V Carol Jean*, we will need to inspect the hull and repair and replace the steel in the hull, as is needed as determined by an inspection. We anticipate that this could cost up to \$3 million (see Mr. Edge's testimony for more detail regarding the financing of this project). The current estimate is \$2,596,000, but it could go higher.

A third significant capital project that Interstate recently completed is an almost \$700,000 project to rebuild the Block Island bulkhead. This expenditure came after our last rate filing, and therefore, the cost of this item is not included in our base rates.

7

There are a few other lesser capital projects, such as a new computer system, new telephone lines, a few new forklifts and dredging at the Montville dock where we store and maintain our vessels in the winter. Mr. Edge has provided additional information on each of these capital items.

5. The last major item that has contributed to the need for a rate increase is a recent appraisal of the Block Island terminal property owned by Interstate Nav. (a different company from Interstate Navigation Company) and leased to Interstate Navigation Company. This appraisal requires essentially a doubling of the cost of that lease. Values on Block Island have skyrocketed and our lease expires on September 30, 2004. Mr. Edge has prorated the increase in the rate year.

- 1 Q. Mr. Edge stated in his prefiled testimony that the stockholders of Interstate did not
- 2 take the profits that were authorized by this Commission and earned by Interstate. Could
- 3 you comment on why this happened?
- 4 A. Certainly. My family and especially my father, who operated this Company for about 60
- 5 years until his death in 1997, has always looked at Interstate as a very important entity which
- 6 should be nurtured, passed through the family if possible, and whenever possible, financially
- 7 strengthened. To this end, the controlling owners of the Company have chosen to forgo
- 8 earnings (dividends) so that the money could be reinvested into the Company. Although certain
- 9 minority stockholders have been asking the Company in the last few years to declare dividends,
- 10 as the controlling shareholder, I have chosen to reinvest the Company earnings instead of
- declaring dividends. This was done so that cash would be available when Interstate needed cash
- 12 to make major capital investments, such as rebuilding the Block Island bulkhead, rehabilitating
- 13 the M/V Carol Jean, purchasing the M/V Anna C, etc.

14

- 15 Q. Mrs. Linda, what are Interstate's goals for the future?
- 16 A. Our immediate goals are to add the M/V Anna C to our fleet, comply with the new Homeland
- 17 Security Coast Guard regulations, improve the staging and terminal area at Point Judith, and
- 18 rebuild the M/V Carol Jean. Our long term goals are as they have always been, to provide the
- 19 best quality year-round ferry service to Block Island at reasonable rates, now in the face of direct
- 20 competition for summer tourists, while at the same time maintaining the financial strength of the
- 21 Company.

22

- 23 In order to try to stem the growing loss of summer customers, it is imperative, now that we are in
- 24 a competitive market, for us to recognize that we need to come up with creative strategies for
- 25 retaining and possibly even expanding our customer base. In this regard, we are asking the
- 26 Commission for permission to institute the following rate design changes:

- 1. We would like to be able to reduce rates up to 50% for passengers only, traveling from Point
- Judith only, during weekdays only, in July and August only. This will allow us to have
- special promotions, such as with radio stations, to try to gain more ridership during the
- summer <u>weekdays</u> when business is usually slow.

Second, we would like to be able to institute a 15% group discount rate for groups of 25 or
 more. We believe that by targeting groups, we may be able to increase ridership.

3. Third, we would like to be able to sell advance tickets on pay for 9 tickets, get 10 tickets basis. In this way, we would not only get the money in advance, but we will encourage people to buy more tickets who will then hopefully give those tickets to other people to use, especially when they are visiting them during their vacation on the island. This proposal may also reduce the lines of people purchasing tickets.

4. Next, we would like to increase the \$25.90 automobile rate to \$50 one-way, which is a 93% increase. This will not apply to commuter cars, which will receive the standard across-the-board rate increase. A similar 93% increase should also be imposed for pickup trucks, vans, and SUVs, from \$31.60 to \$60.40. The purpose here is to try to discourage people from taking their cars to Block Island and to encourage them to instead take bicycles or to rent a bicycle on the island or use a taxi. The Town Council has made it clear to us that they believe there are too many cars on the island and we are hopeful this will help to reduce the number of cars going to the island. The increased rate will help to make up for the anticipated loss of car traffic. In addition, if fewer cars travel, this will open up more spaces for the greatly increased demand for truck space on the ferries.

5. Lastly, this Commission has essentially deregulated IHSF's rates for summer passengers going to Block Island by only requiring IHSF to charge no less than its existing rates as a floor. IHSF is allowed to charge as much as it would like to charge for the summer passengers it carries to Block Island. We would like to be able to do the same.

The more money we can get from summer tourists, the easier it will be to hold down increases in the lifeline freight and winter service. It appears that, contrary to our earlier expectations, many summer tourists are not very price sensitive and are more than willing to pay \$26 or more for a round-trip to Block Island on the IHSF ferry. We would also like the same flexibility to be able to increase our rates for summer tourists. This will not affect Block Islanders holding commuter cards.

IHSF has also been allowed to retain all of its profits without limitation. We recognize that our lifeline service should be restricted to a reasonable rate of return. However, with regard to ticket sales to summer tourists, we believe we should be able to retain whatever additional revenues we are able to generate from sales to summer tourists. Therefore our proposal to let the market place control prices is as follows:

We would like to be given the flexibility to increase the charge for passengers traveling to and from Block Island during the months of June, July, August, and September. The rate charged would not affect the commuter rate established in this docket, which will remain constant throughout the year. If the charge is increased, the additional dollars collected from any summer tourist rate increase imposed over and above the rates approved in this docket would first go to cover any shortfall in Interstate's authorized return on rate base and then the excess may be retained by Interstate in full as additional profit, which will not be counted against the regulatory profit allowance.

Interstate realizes that it is very important to preserve the lifeline service to Block Island. To that end, Interstate must remain competitive and must try to stop the downward spiral of revenues that is occurring. As Interstate's rates continue of necessity to increase (and the differential between Interstate's rates and IHSF's rates continues to decrease, making IHSF's boat more attractive), Interstate must find ways to effectively compete with IHSF.

Interstate is not requesting that its year-round lifeline service or that its freight and vehicle service, which has no competition, be deregulated in any way. Interstate accepts that it should be traditionally regulated on a rate base rate of return method of regulation for this portion of its service. However, Interstate believes that its summer non-commuter passenger service from June through and including September should be allowed the same type of deregulation that IHSF is now allowed. This will allow the market place to control the prices in this competitive market. This would allow Interstate and IHSF to operate on a level playing field. Both companies will then be allowed to raise their rates and will be able to keep the additional profits generated by any increase in summer rates.

1 -	It will be up to Interstate and IIISF to evaluate the market to determine if and when to raise						
2	rates above the PUC approved rates and to determine how these actions affect tourist traffic.						
3							
4	Q. Ms. Linda, have you prepared any schedules for this filing?						
5	A. Yes, I have provided as an attachment to my testimony an analysis of payroll expense in the						
ó	test year by month, by location and by function (See Attachment A). Also required is the						
7	overtime paid for the last three years which was \$238,627.54 (Test Year); \$205,045.05 (FYE						
8	5/31/02) and \$123,723.98 (FYE 5/31/01).						
9							
10	Q. Does that conclude your testimony?						
11	A. Yes.						
12							
13	Attestation of Financial Data pursuant to Rule 2.7:						
14							
15	I, Susan Linda, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer of Interstate Navigation Company, do						
16	hereby attest to the accuracy of the test year financial data presented in the rate base, cost of						
17	service and other financial statements; that such data purports to reflect the books of the						
18	company, and the results of operations; and that all differences between the books and the test						
19	year data, and any changes in the manner of recording an item on the Company's books during						
20	the test year, have been expressly noted.						
21	\mathcal{J}						
22	Turant Links						
23	Susan E. Linda						
_							

FOTAL	S 154 997.1		\$ 124,302.5	5 115,552.0	\$ 190,267.8	\$ 174,831.8	\$ 220,092.6	3-2-9314	\$ 211,349.0	\$ 205,110,5	\$ 235,581.6	\$ 131,575.8	5 158,158.7	\$ 2,344,917,3
	410.30	000	DE 735	573.89	199.50	986,50	2,114,50	6,259,70	4,539.25	2,161.50	1,219.50	612.00	371.56	29,360,43
TPS	•	٠,	^	•	s	v	v,	ω.	ı,	· v	v)	u,	۵.	S
	1 366 67	4 'CO'	3,492,45	4,851,75	7,427 52	8,322.31	12,061.76	21,109 62	16,338,11	12,253,23	13,198.19	6,638.05	7,188.55	117,737 05
8AR	,	,	•	v	vi	v	s	'n	*	S	**	۵	S	.
MANITOD		•	•	٠	•	•	٠	20,275.6	15,443.5	5, 524, 13	٠		•	42,24230
42	•	n	4	w	∳ ?	*	W	51.5	42 *	88 8	**	*	v	5:
JELSECO	;	•	•	•	٠	•	•	30,221	24,301	7,585 58	•	•	•	62,088.53
_	•	4	s)	*	55.5	11 \$	\$ \$	55.55	\$ 61	\$ 85	36.5	٠	٠	80 S
MARCH JEAN		•		•	32.72	30,265	34,060,26	£ 22	38,452	31,258	37,285			249,689.80
ď		2	**	39	3	86	2	02 \$	13 \$	ن، <u>+</u>	85 \$	34 5	Z,	62 \$
		51,803	40 333.1	41.226	37,531	34.857	41,331,13	56,470	44,782	39,835	55.744	35,673	42,584	522,179
X17 G2		· (+)		v	· 14		'n	ı.A	w	s,	₽ 4	· v	и	47
		213	1 0	2 2	5 6	2.0	# 6 6 6 6	2 5	1 8			2 6		1 5
,,,,	2002	4 F.0	1011			76.6	72 200 08	12.0	ž	17.81	, ,	14 10	1 3	2.0.0
	20		ب	٠.	, ,	, ₄	, v				, _U		, .	
		14.252.15 \$	12 272 44 5	4 176 95 4	18 022 04	20,000	30.412.13	50.047.00 \$	38 185 05 5	26.247.14. \$	23 443 93 5	11.757.15	13.052.47	277.450.50 5
		14.252.15 \$	12 277 44 E	4 176 95 4	18 022 04	20,000	30.412.13	50.047.00 \$	38 185 05 5	26.247.14. \$	23 443 93 5	11.757.15	13.052.47	277.450.50 5
* ************************************	FFICE PURCH BIDG	7,168,87 \$ 14,252,15 \$	5.695.5.1 x 12.272.4.4.4.	\$ 231.30 E 11.126.95 &	2 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	7 10 28 C 20 545 C	2 18483 4 TO 455 24 5	15 328 14 \$ 50.047 00 \$	12 242 91 \$ 38 185 06 \$	13.251.15 5 26.347.14 \$	10 512 62 5 23 441 63 5	5778.16 \$ 1174.15 1	6 550 25 S 13 05 4 3 C	105 036 15 S 27 4 S0 S0 S
* ************************************	FFICE PURCH BIDG	7,168,87 \$ 14,252,15 \$	5.695.5.1 x 12.272.4.4.4.	\$ 231.30 E 11.126.95 &	2 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	7 10 28 C 20 545 C	2 18481 4 10 41 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51	15 328 14 \$ 50.047 00 \$	12 242 91 \$ 38 185 06 \$	13.251.15 5 26.347.14 \$	10 512 62 5 23 441 63 5	5778.16 \$ 1174.15 1	6 550 25 S 13 05 4 3 C	105 036 15 S 27 4 S0 S0 S
200000000000000000000000000000000000000	AFRICA PLUCK BLOCK BLOCK	18,568 01 \$ 7,168.87 \$ 14,252.15 \$	13.625.20 \$ 5.695.51 \$ 12.22.44 \$	16.216.81 < <.231.20 c. 14.176.95 ¢	18400 78 C 8403 14 C 18602 64	200641 5 STOLE 2 11.0000	26 498 Of 5 4 184 R3 4 30 412 13 4	36.185.62 \$ 15.328.14 \$ 50.047.00 \$	29 122 00 \$ 12 242 91 \$ 38 185 06 \$	22,908.98 5 13,251.15 5 26,347.14 \$	29.893.80 S 10.512.02 S 23.444.03 C	18,046,08 \$ 5,778.12 \$ 11,74,14 \$	18.289.73 \$ 6.550.25 \$ 13.052.47 ¢	288.810.68 \$ 105.098.15 \$ 777.450.50 \$
200000000000000000000000000000000000000	AFRICA PLUCK BLOCK BLOCK	18,568 01 \$ 7,168.87 \$ 14,252.15 \$	13.625.20 \$ 5.695.51 \$ 12.22.44 \$	16.216.81 < <.231.20 c. 14.176.95 ¢	18400 78 C 8403 14 C 18602 04 C	200641 5 STOLE 2 11.0000	26 498 Of 5 4 184 R3 4 30 412 13 4	36.185.62 \$ 15.328.14 \$ 50.047.00 \$	29 122 00 \$ 12 242 91 \$ 38 185 06 \$	22,908.98 5 13,251.15 5 26,347.14 \$	29.893.80 S 10.512.02 S 23.444.03 C	18,046,08 \$ 5,778.12 \$ 11,74,14 \$	18.289.73 \$ 6.550.25 \$ 13.052.47 ¢	288.810.68 \$ 105.098.15 \$ 777.450.50 \$
200000000000000000000000000000000000000	ACTUAL PURCH BIDG	18,568 01 \$ 7,168.87 \$ 14,252.15 \$	13.625.20 \$ 5.695.51 \$ 12.22.44 \$	16.216.81 < <.231.20 c. 14.176.95 ¢	18400 78 C 8403 14 C 18602 04 C	200641 5 STOLE 2 11.0000	26 498 Of 5 4 184 R3 4 30 412 13 4	36.185.62 \$ 15.328.14 \$ 50.047.00 \$	29 122 00 \$ 12 242 91 \$ 38 185 06 \$	22,908.98 5 13,251.15 5 26,347.14 \$	29.893.80 S 10.512.02 S 23.444.03 C	18,046,08 \$ 5,778.12 \$ 11,74,14 \$	18.289.73 \$ 6.550.25 \$ 13.052.47 ¢	288.810.68 \$ 105.098.15 \$ 777.450.50 \$
200000000000000000000000000000000000000	ALCONOCIA PLOCIALE PLOCIA BLOC	\$ 2,475.00 \$ 18,568.01 \$ 7,168.87 \$ 14,252.15 \$	5 2,700 00 5 13,625,20 \$ 5,695,51 \$ 12,575,14 \$	S 2000 60 3 16716 Rt < < 221.20 g + 1,176.85 e	\$ 2500 th 18472 78 5 8473 th 5 1853 50 c	\$ 2000 0 5 2000 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	\$ 4,360,00 S 26,499.06 S 9,188.83 \$ 30,472.13 c	\$ 4,334,00 \$ 36,18,62 \$ 15,328,14 \$ 50,047,00 \$	5 3.640.00 \$ 29.122.00 \$ 12.242.91 \$ 38.185.06 \$	5 3,921.25 \$ 23,908.98 \$ 13,251.15 \$ 26,347.14 \$	\$ 4,520.00 \$ 29.893.80 \$ 10.512.02 \$ 23.443.93	\$ 2,970,00 \$ 18,046,08 \$ 5,778.14 \$ 11,741.14 R	\$ 2,800,00 \$ 18,288,73 \$ 6,550,25 £ 12,052,47 €	\$ 34,220.25 \$ 288,810.58 \$ 105,098,15 \$ 277,450,50 \$
4 1000 0 0000 0 0000 0 000 00 00 00 00 00	ALCOUCK BLOCK BLOCK BLOCK	\$ 2,475.00 \$ 18,568.01 \$ 7,168.87 \$ 14,252.15 \$	5 2,700 00 5 13,625,20 \$ 5,695,51 \$ 12,575,14 \$	S 2000 60 3 16716 Rt < < 221.20 g + 1,176.85 e	\$ 2500 th 18472 78 5 8473 th 5 1853 50 c	\$ 2000 0 5 2000 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	26 498 Of 5 4 184 R3 4 30 412 13 4	\$ 4,334,00 \$ 36,18,62 \$ 15,328,14 \$ 50,047,00 \$	5 3.640.00 \$ 29.122.00 \$ 12.242.91 \$ 38.185.06 \$	5 3,921.25 \$ 23,908.98 \$ 13,251.15 \$ 26,347.14 \$	\$ 4,520.00 \$ 29.893.80 \$ 10.512.02 \$ 23.443.93	\$ 2,970,00 \$ 18,046,08 \$ 5,778.14 \$ 11,741.14 R	\$ 2,800,00 \$ 18,288,73 \$ 6,550,25 £ 12,052,47 €	\$ 34,220.25 \$ 288,810.58 \$ 105,098,15 \$ 277,450,50 \$
200000000000000000000000000000000000000	TO COURT TO COLOR BIOCK BIDG	5 19,362.67 \$ 2,475.00 \$ 18,563.01 \$ 7,168.87 \$ 14,252.15 \$	\$ 16,596.11 \$ 2,700.00 \$ 13,695.20 \$ 5,695.51 \$ 12,574.4	\$ 15.254.33 \$ 2,000.00 \$ 16.216.81 \$ \$231.30 £ 11.176.95 \$	5 18:532.19 \$ 2:500.00 \$ 18:472.78 \$ 8:473.34 \$ 18:572.04 \$	5 13 810 17 \$ 2000 00 \$ 20 00 11 \$ 710 78 \$ 22 00 5 2	\$ 19.861.00 \$ 4.360.00 \$ 26.498.06 \$ 91.88.83 \$ 20.343.24	\$ 1,097.50 \$ 4,334.00 \$ 36,18.62 \$ 15,328.14 \$ 50,047.00 \$	3 1824.50 \$ 3.640.00 \$ 29.122.00 \$ 12.242.91 \$ 38.184.06 \$	\$ 6,031.39 \$ 3,921.25 \$ 23,908.98 \$ 11,251.15 \$ 26,347.14 \$	\$ 15,615.87 \$ 4,520.00 \$ 29,893.80 \$ 10,512.00 \$ 21,441.00 ¢	5 16,519.30 \$ 2,970.00 \$ 18,046.08 \$ 5,778.14 \$ 17,74.15 t	\$ 20,534.41 \$ 2,800,00 \$ 18,288.73 \$ 6,550.25 \$ 12,015.47 \$	\$ 165,839.44 \$ 38,220.25 \$ 288,810.58 \$ 105,098,15 \$ 277,450,10 \$
4 1000 0 0000 0 0000 0 000 00 00 00 00 00	TACTOR BILDING PLOCES BILDING	5 19,362.67 \$ 2,475.00 \$ 18,563.01 \$ 7,168.87 \$ 14,252.15 \$	\$ 16,596.11 \$ 2,700.00 \$ 13,695.20 \$ 5,695.51 \$ 12,574.4	\$ 15.254.33 \$ 2,000.00 \$ 16.216.81 \$ \$231.30 £ 11.176.95 \$	5 18:532.19 \$ 2:500.00 \$ 18:472.78 \$ 8:473.34 \$ 18:572.04 \$	5 13 810 17 \$ 2000 00 \$ 20 00 11 \$ 710 78 \$ 22 00 5 2	\$ 4,360,00 S 26,499.06 S 9,188.83 \$ 30,472.13 c	\$ 1,097.50 \$ 4,334.00 \$ 36,18.62 \$ 15,328.14 \$ 50,047.00 \$	3 1824.50 \$ 3.640.00 \$ 29.122.00 \$ 12.242.91 \$ 38.184.06 \$	\$ 6,031.39 \$ 3,921.25 \$ 23,908.98 \$ 11,251.15 \$ 26,347.14 \$	\$ 15,615.87 \$ 4,520.00 \$ 29,893.80 \$ 10,512.00 \$ 21,441.00 ¢	5 16,519.30 \$ 2,970.00 \$ 18,046.08 \$ 5,778.14 \$ 17,74.15 t	\$ 20,534.41 \$ 2,800,00 \$ 18,288.73 \$ 6,550.25 \$ 12,015.47 \$	\$ 165,839.44 \$ 38,220.25 \$ 288,810.58 \$ 105,098,15 \$ 277,450,10 \$
Main's Michelle Diopers Brosens	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY AND DOCK BIDG	\$ 21.390 to \$ 19,362 67 \$ 2,475 00 \$ 18,568 01 \$ 7,168,87 \$ 14,252.15 \$	\$ 17.240,02 \$ 16.596,11 \$ 2,700,00 \$ 13.685.20 \$ 5.895.51 \$ 12.272.44 \$	\$ 20,456.00 \$ 18,254.33 \$ 2,000.00 \$ 18,718.01 \$ 13,1130.00 11,115.00 \$	\$ 24.584.00 \$ 18.532.19 \$ 2.500.00 \$ 18.432.78 \$ 8.433.44 \$ 18.632.04 \$	\$ 11.240.50 \$ 13.810.17 \$ 200.00 \$ 20.00 \$ 1.00 \$ 0 \$ 0.00 \$ 0 \$ 0.00 \$ 0 \$ 0.00 \$ 0 \$	\$ 19.861.00 \$ 4.360.00 \$ 26.498.06 \$ 91.88.83 \$ 20.343.24	\$ 21,550.00 \$ 1,097.50 \$ 4,334.00 \$ 36,195.67 \$ 15,328.14 \$ 50.047.00 \$	\$ 17.240.00 \$ 1,824.50 \$ 3,640.00 \$ 29,122.00 \$ 12,242.91 \$ 38,185.05	5 17.240 00 \$ 6,031.39 \$ 3,921.25 \$ 23,908.98 \$ 11,251.15 \$ 26,347.14 \$	\$ 21,559.20 \$ 15,615,87 \$ 4,520.00 \$ 29,893.80 \$ 10,517.02 \$ 21441.03 \$	\$ 17,240 to \$ 16,519,30 \$ 2,970,00 \$ 18,046.08 \$ 5,778.14 \$ 13,741.15 \$	S 32,130,00 \$ 20,534,41 \$ 2,800,00 \$ 18,289,73 \$ 6,550,25 £ 13,012,42	3 245,100,00 \$ 165,839.44 \$ 34,220,25 \$ 268,810,68 \$ 105,096.15 \$ 77,450.50 \$

INTERSTATE NAVIGATION COMPANY/OVERTIME

FYE5/31/01	\$ 123,723.98
FYE5/31/02	\$ 205,045.05
FYE5/31/03	\$ 238,627.54